

Previous references.

1892-93

64 File No. 427 of 92-93

~~1901~~

Serial Nos. 1-4

(Courts)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major Part B. for September 93.

Pay
~~Probation~~ of Mr. Mir Humza Hussain as judicial
probationer.

Sl-1. From the Chief Judge { 2707
of Mysore. } 99 20.6.93

Reports that Mr. Mir Humza Hussain B.A., B.L. has
been doing duty in the Chief Court as judicial probationer
from 12th March 93 & suggests that he may be allowed to
draw Rs 75 per mensem rising to Rs 100.

Sl-2. to — do — { 21825-6
+ the Gaupsticker } 8 5343 29.6.93

Sanctions the Chief Judge's recommendation.

Sl-3. To the Registrar { 992-51. } 5.9.93
Chief Court

Requests definite instructions re the pay that
Mr. Humza Hussain should draw as full probationer.

Sl-4. To — do — { 5264-5
+ 124 } 18.9.93

From

1893 15084
21 JUN 93
The Chief Judge
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 20 June 1903

Despatched

SECTION

Received

FILE No.

427 of 92-93

Enclosures No

SERIAL No.

General No.

No.

2707 188-8

99

Reports that Mr. Hain
Hunza Hussain B.A.
B.L. has been doing
duty in the Chief Court
as Judicial Probationer
from 12th March 1893 and
suggests that he be
allowed to draw Rs 75
per mensem rising to
Rs 100 after six months
if he gives satisfaction.

G.

Submitted for orders.

Sauet

Mun

20/6/03

Drafts

Rs. 250

To The same & the Comptroller

Rs 21825-6 of 29/6/03
of 5343

N^o 2707
99

2

Bangalore, 20th June 1893.

From

J. R. A. Thimboothetty Esq
Chief Judge of Mysore
Bangalore.

To,

Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer K. C. S. I.
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In accordance with the instructions received from you, I have the honor to report that Mr. Mir Humza Hussain, B. A. B. L., has been doing duty in the Chief Court as Judicial Probationer from 12th March 1893, and I request that you may be pleased to fix the pay to be drawn by him. I would suggest that he be allowed to draw Rs. 75 per mensem rising to Rs. 100 after six months if he gives satisfaction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant;

J. R. A. Thimboothetty
Chief Judge.

J.R.

Read,

2

Letter No. $\frac{2707}{99}$ datedSECTION CourtsFILE No. 422 of 92-93SERIAL No. 2

20th June 1893 from the
 Chief Justice Mysore,
 reporting that Mr. Mir
 Hanza Hussain B.A.B.L.
 has been doing duty
 in the Chief Court as a
 Judicial Probationer
 from 12th March 1893,
 and recommending
 that he may be allowed
 to draw Rs 75/- per
 mensem, rising to
 Rs 100/- after six
 months, if he gives
 satisfaction.

✓
 No $\frac{21825-6}{85343}$ 924-6-93
 4-7

order.

4

Order thereon.

The Chief Judge's
recommendation
is sanctioned.

Aug 29/10

$\frac{\text{sube}}{27/6/13}$ Chief Secretary
10

The Chief Judge of
Hypox

The Comptroller to
the Govt of Hypox.

At.

G. D. D. D.
for
17

Chief Court as Judicial Probationer from 12th March 1893. The order fixing his pay as Rs 75 per mensem rising to Rs 100/- after six months' approved service, is dated 29th June 1893.

15/9/93 It is intended to take effect from the date of his admⁿ as Prob^r - Reply accordingly
[Signature]

Drafted
suby
18/9/93

(General Serial No. 29.)

From

188/3 *3200*
41241
The Registrar to the
Chief Court of Mysore

To

Chief
The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 5th Sept^r 1893

Despatched 6th Sept^r 1893

Received 4 2707-92-93

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 992
No. 51 } 188 - 8

Referring to Ho of
Court No. 21825-6 of 29th
June 1893, requests definite
instructions regarding
the pay that Mr. Nur
Hunza Hussain should
draw as Judicial Probationer.

Genl.

P. and A. M.

18/9/93

Submitted prods.

In his letter No. 2707
of 20th June 1893, int^r as
below, the Chief Judge
stated that Mr. Nur
Hunza Hussain has
been doing duty in the

The Same & The Comptroller
No. 5264-5 of 18/9/93
9 1212

N^o 292/
51

Bangalore 5th September 1893.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

3

SECTION

To

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the orders
of Government N^o. $\frac{21825-6}{95343}$ dated 29th
June 1893, sanctioning the recommenda-
tion of the Chief Judge that Judicial Pro-
bationer, Mr. Mir Humza Hussain,
may be allowed to draw pay at Rs 75
per mensem rising to Rs 100 after six
months if he gives satisfaction, I am
directed to state that it is not clear
from the Government Order referred to,
whether it is intended that the Proba-
tioner should get his pay from the date
of his being admitted as a Probationer,
as he now claims, or from the date of the Govern-
ment order, and forequest definite instructions
of the Government on the point.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
B. V. S. S. S.
Registrar

116

Section Courts

FILE No. 427092-93

SERIAL No. 4

No. 5-264-5
8 12 12 18-9-93
21

under the orders
G.O. No. 21823-6
of 5343
of 29 June last

Dead,

Letter No. 992 dated 5th
September 1893, from the Registrar of the Chief Court soliciting instructions as to the date from which Mr. Mir Humza Hussain, ~~probationer~~ should draw his pay as ~~probationer~~ Probationer.

Order.

~~Mr. Mir Humza Hussain~~
was entertained as ~~probationer~~ Probationer from the 12th March 1893. The order fixing his pay as Rs 75/- per mensem rising to Rs 100/- after six months' approved service, is dated 29th June 1893. ^{cited} This order ~~is~~ was intended to take effect from the date of Mr. Mir Humza Hussain's admission as Probationer.

To. The Chief Judge in Mysore.
The Commissioner &
all other Govt.

subd. 
